The following is a list of individuals interviewed for this five-year review. See the attached contact record(s) for a detailed summary of the interviews.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karen Ginnane</td>
<td>Site Manager</td>
<td>Praxair, Inc.</td>
<td>January 21, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anonymous</td>
<td>Manager of local business</td>
<td>Local Business</td>
<td>January 21, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Rauch</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>For A Clean Tonawanda Site (FACTS)</td>
<td>January 25, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anonymous</td>
<td>Coalition Against Nuclear Materials in Tonawanda (CANiT)</td>
<td>January 25, 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Westphal</td>
<td>Property Manager</td>
<td>Grubb &amp; Ellis</td>
<td>January 25, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Morris</td>
<td>Technical Support</td>
<td>Town of Tonawanda Supervisor’s Office</td>
<td>January 26, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Rapp</td>
<td>Local Resident</td>
<td>Local Community</td>
<td>January 27, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Cross</td>
<td>Principal</td>
<td>Holmes Elementary</td>
<td>January 29, 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INTERVIEW RECORD**

- **Site Name:** Linde FUSRAP Site
- **EPA ID No.:**
- **Subject:** Soils Remediation Five-Year Review
- **Date:** 1/20/2010 10:55am
- **Type:** Telephone Visit
- **Location of Visit:**
  - Incoming: Outgoing:
- **Contact Made By:**
  - **Name:** Colin Wasteneys
  - **Title:** Team Coordinator
  - **Organization:** URS Group, Inc.
- **Individual Contacted:**
  - **Name:** John Mitchell
  - **Title:** Project Manager
  - **Organization:** NYSDEC Bureau of Hazardous Waste & Radiation Management
- **Telephone No:** 518-402-8573
- **Fax No:**
- **E-Mail Address:**
Summary Of Conversation

Question 1: What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?
Response 1: They're doing a good job – it's hard to argue with digging down to native clay. They have encountered problems in sidewalls. If contamination above the cleanup level is identified in a sidewall, the Corps will stop excavating and say it is outside of the unit.

Offsite, there were definitely areas where the NYSDEC thought the Corps stopped excavating prematurely. The NYSDEC has not agreed to the ROD, and they specifically don't agree with the ROD cleanup levels. As a result of some residual contamination being left in place, the Corps has left Praxair in a precarious situation. If Praxair ever has to excavate soil in a Class 2 area, contaminated material that will then have to be managed according to Part 380, and Praxair could become a PRP.

Overall, impression is that the Corps is going a good job.

Question 2: Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details.
Response 2: No, there have not been too many problems. There have not been any dust complaints that I am aware of. A rail car derailed at the Frontier rail yard. I'm not aware of any public complaints.

When building 30 was taken down, the material was taken to Schultz Landfill in Cheektowaga and some of it went to Buttonwillow in California. Cheektowaga residents were concerned that the material would contaminate groundwater. I had to do two rounds of groundwater sampling to demonstrate that there was no impact to groundwater.

It has been a learning process that has improved since earlier in the project. The Corps is now working well with the NYSDEC in making determinations of whether or not material is acceptable for recycling or for offsite disposal per part 380 regs. (interview continued on next page)

Name: John Mitchell Title: Project Manager Organization: NYSDEC Bureau of Hazardous Waste & Radiation Management

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the administration or operation of the soils remediation project by the Corps? If so, please give details.
Response 3: Because it’s a big site, there aren’t too many noise considerations, and there have not been any dust complaints as far as I know. There have been some concerns of contamination near residences along the bike path in the park. The Corps demonstrated that there was no risk to bike path users. The Corp has handled everything pretty well with regards to the citizen concerns on the soils remedy.

Question 4: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?
Response 4: Yes, I participate in weekly calls, and also participate in Final Status Surveys whenever they occur. We’ve had fairly good communication.

Question 5: Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?
Response 5: The Corp is working under the ROD, which the NYSDEC doesn’t accept. The NYSDEC has its own criteria. There are some areas across the railroad tracks that the Corps feels are done, but the NYSDEC thinks they stopped excavating prematurely.

It’s unfortunate that it was a unilateral process for determining the criteria for the ROD. Since the Corps didn’t seek concurrence, they won’t be able to satisfy the state in the final outcome because there are two different standards being used.

In the past, it has been difficult to get timely information due to the chain of communication through the Corps to the contractor – particularly when there was lots of soil being moved. I would like to see more flexibility in communications with the contractor.

Site Name: Linde FUSRAP Site EPA ID No.: 
Subject: Soils Remediation Five-Year Review Date: 1/21/2010 Time: 1:30pm 
Type: Telephone Visit Other

Location of Visit: Praxair, 175 E. Park Drive, Tonawanda, NY 14150

Incoming Outgoing 

Contact Made By: 
Name: Colin Wasteneys 
Name: Kevin Sullivan 

Title: Team Coordinator 
Title: Team Engineer 

Organization: URS Group, Inc.
Summary Of Conversation

Question 1: What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?
Response 1: Has been aware over the last several years of buildings coming down and soils being removed. They are making progress. The contractors work in their own established zones. He is generally well informed and well aware of where the work is being done and moving to.

Question 2: Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details
Response 2: No.

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details
Response 3: No.

Question 4: Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.
Response 4: No.

Question 5: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?
Response 5: Has limited involvement in the project. Gets updated by Karen Ginnane as needed. Occasionally, has the need to send out a memo to Praxair employees notifying them of work activities in certain areas, and possible detours around these areas, or informing the employees of general activities as they relate to safety. The biggest complaint from Praxair employees is “when will we get our parking lot back” for example (issues of inconvenience).

Question 6: Do you feel the plan for transporting contaminated soil offsite and clean soil to the site has been adequate in terms of ensuring public protection?
Response 6: Yes. (interview continued on next page)
Question 1: What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?
Response 1: The United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has made tremendous progress to date and is working diligently to remove all contaminated materials from the Former Linde Site according to the Record of Decision. The former Linde property is an active campus that employs more than 1300 people and regular communication meetings are facilitated by USACE to coordinate daily remedial efforts to minimize the impact to site employees.

Communication between the USACE and Praxair is excellent. Jim Westphal is the main liaison. Either Jim Westphal or Karen Ginnane attends weekly meetings.

Question 2: Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details.
Response 2: No.

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details.
Response 3: Yes, I have received inquiries on occasion regarding the general concern of the presence of contaminants on the property, potential health effects, and inquiries regarding the status of the project. For external inquiries, I direct people to the Linde FUSRAP web site (www.lrb.usace.army.mil/fusrap/linde/index). I occasionally get questions about white suits and respirators. Also, town officials occasionally ask when the work will be completed.

Question 4: Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.
Response 4: No. If any such things occur, Praxair would be notified.

Question 5: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?
Response 5: Information related to short-term remediation progress and site operations is readily available. We would appreciate more frequent updates regarding the longer term aspects of the project.

(interview continued on next page)
Summary Of Conversation

**Question 1:** What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?

**Response 1:** Doesn't know much about the project.

**Question 2:** Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details.

**Response 2:** Knows of two people that live in the area who have cancer. Has heard of some people having problems due to the site. Hasn't personally noticed any effects.

**Question 3:** Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details.

**Response 3:** Just the health concerns stated above.

**Question 4:** Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.

**Response 4:** No.

**Question 5:** Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?

**Response 5:** No. Knows that they removed a couple buildings. Not currently on the mailing list, but would like to receive future notices.

**Question 6:** Do you feel the plan for transporting contaminated soil offsite and clean soil to the site has been adequate in terms of ensuring public protection?

**Response 6:** Not knowledgeable about the soil transportation. He hasn't noticed any additional truck traffic.

**Question 7:** Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?

**Response 7:** Would like to see the problem taken care of.
Response 2: Not aware of any. But suggests that the site is fenced in a very protective manner. Also, stated that during the interim measures that DOE did, the site operations were not protective. They tore down building 38 (1990's) with no enclosure and a single stream of water from a garden hose.

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details

Response 3: Yes, general community concern is that the remediation is inadequate. Public is concerned that the cleanup should be to residential levels. There has not been retention of public involvement due to no public meetings. Advertisement in Buffalo news occurred on 11/29/09, but it appeared in the 12/20/09 edition, the same time that the LINDE Site News was mailed out. Linda Houston refused to send additional LINDE Site News updates to FACTS suggesting that the contractor had only provided enough copies for the current mailing list. Mr. Rauch suggested that the offer to be on the mailing list should have been sent far in advance of the newsletter, to allow more individuals in the public to sign up.

Question 4: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?

Response 4: No – in general he has asked for USACE to provide information and they have refused to hold public meetings to provide updates. In particular, he does not feel well informed on the final status surveys and what cleanup criteria the USACE is meeting.

INTERVIEW RECORD (continued)

Individual Contacted:
Name: James Rauch Title: Secretary Organization: For A Clean Tonawanda Site (FACTS)

Question 4: Have there been any site related discussions or community issues raised at FACTS meetings? If so, please provide general topics and outcomes.

Response 4: All of the discussions here, inadequate cleanup, same things are discussed over and over. Also that there was only 1 respondent to the LINDE mailing – FACTS. Their suspicion is that the USACE selectively designed the mailing list to limit the public involvement.

Question 5: Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?

Response 5: The LINDE remedial program should be returned to NRC agreement state regulatory control under the authority of the NYSDEC.

Site Name: Linde FUSRAP Site EPA ID No.: 
Subject: Soils Remediation Five-Year Review Date: 1/25/2010 Time: 1:15pm
Type: Telephone Visit Other
Location of Visit:
Incoming Outgoing
Contact Made By:
Name: Kevin Sullivan Title: Team Engineer Organization: URS Group, Inc.

Individual Contacted:
Name: Anonymous Title: Organization: Coalition Against Nuclear Materials in Tonawanda (CANNIT)

Telephone No:
Fax No:
E-Mail Address:
Street Address:
City, State, Zip:

Summary Of Conversation

Question 1: What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?

Response 1: That it is moving forward, good amount of work to do. Biggest drawback is funding.

Question 2: Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details.

Response 2: Not aware of any health related problems. However there may still be some folks in the surrounding community that perceive there to be a problem (health related) due to the nature of the radioactive residue.

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details.

Response 3: No, in general, but for a small group of individuals, yes. There are a few individuals that have expressed health related concerns directed toward the LINDE Site. Sometimes the individuals who have expressed concerns have not been able to substantiate their concerns with scientific data. Gave an example of concerns for the health of the Holmes Elementary School children by Mr. Sweet.

Question 4: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?

Response 4: In the past, better informed. In the past there would be periodic briefings for public officials, to keep
them posted in between newsletters. But those have not happened since LaFalce left office. These briefings were generally in the form of power point presentations, etc. Overall, we are not as informed as we used to be.

**Question 5:** Have there been any site related discussions or community issues raised at CANIT meetings? If so, please provide general topics and outcomes.

**Response 5:** Last CANIT meeting might have been a year ago. The primary discussions were the Tonawanda Landfill and the Seaway Landfill. Discussions at that time focused on whether the waste there is MED/FUSRAP or not, proximity of waste to the fenceline and the nearby homes. Feels that the lack of updates has caused the lack of CANIT discussions on the LINDE Site.

**Question 6:** Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?

**Response 6:** USACE is working hard to clean up LINDE. There may be a perceived lack of progress, due to the uncovering of additional wastes. Communications on cleanup progress would be appreciated.

---

**Site Name:** Linde FUSRAP Site **EPA ID No.:**

**Subject:** Soils Remediation Five-Year Review **Date:** 1/25/2010 **Time:** 10:20am

**Type:** Telephone Visit **Other Location of Visit:**

**Contact Made By:**

**Name:** Colin Wasteneys **Title:** Team Coordinator **Organization:** URS Group, Inc.

**Individual Contacted:**

**Name:** Jim Westphal **Title:** Property Manager **Organization:** Grubb & Ellis

**Telephone No:** 518-830-7232 **Fax No:**

**E-Mail Address:**

**Street Address:** 175 E. Park Drive **City, State, Zip:** Tonawanda, NY 14150

**Summary Of Conversation**

**Question 1:** What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?

**Response 1:** Has been on site since 1974. Worked in all the buildings and dug in the areas that are not OK to dig, and brought contamination home on his shoes. Overall, the Corps is doing a good job in certain respects such as the civil and mechanical work.

The original object was to clean up the site, and work hand in hand. The biggest flaw is that the cleanup is handcuffed by the ROD. When the cleanup is done, there will be areas on site still needing attention. There are areas that the Corps is walking away from such as the south parking lot. They know from testing that if the pavement is removed, the underlying soil would fail, but it meets the ROD criteria. As long as the soil is covered with pavement, then it's OK - it doesn't make sense to him.

Is concerned about what Praxair will be able to do with the property in the future. After spending a couple hundred million dollars on the cleanup, the property will be a no-man's land. What did they really accomplish after spending all this money?

With respect to the onsite work, the Corps is doing a good job.

**Question 2:** Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details

**Response 2:** Just rumors and horror stories about the contamination. If they really cleaned it up properly, it could be a gold star - but with current cleanup they will have to double-talk their way out of it.

**Question 3:** Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details

**Response 3:** Whatever people read in the newspaper. There are so many unknowns on the site. Early in the RI process, the initial cleanup time estimate was two years - but the extent of contaminated material ballooned. Originally, the government told the site operator that everything was OK and to go about their business - as a result people were exposed to contamination.

The most common comment is “You mean they’re still digging?”

---

**INTERVIEW RECORD (continued)**

**Individual Contacted:**

**Name:** Jim Westphal **Title:** Property Manager **Organization:** Grubb & Ellis

**Question 4:** Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.

**Response 4:** No, not really. One guy almost had a heart attack. Security is pretty tight - 24/7. Occasionally they will get people walking along the railroad tracks. Once, a Washington Post reporter was encountered walking along tracks taking pictures and was told to leave or the railroad security would be informed.

The contractor has a really good safety record.
**Question 5:** Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?

**Response 5:** Yes - knows on at least a day-to-day basis, is deeply involved with coordinating site activities.

**Question 6:** Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?

**Response 6:** On site day-to-day management has been excellent. The biggest deal is the surface/subsurface soil criteria. There have been some issues between Praxair and the Corps regarding underground utilities. After excavating and backfilling certain areas, in some cases the Corps has not replaced main utilities, and this is a bone of contention between Praxair and the Corps. The main utilities should be replaced - that is, the main utilities such as main sewer branches. And this goes back to the surface/subsurface issue.

For instance, a snow plow working the south parking lot could accidentally rip up some pavement exposing the contaminated soil, and this soil could be tracked in by unsuspecting employees. It is not the right thing to do. Under the current protocol, no Praxair contractors are allowed to excavate without rad support (guy with a meter) present. If excavation will be in a cleared area, then Praxair will get a letter saying the area is clear and rad support is not needed. There have only been two of these letters in 10 years - meaning that most areas need rad support.

If they could only get rid of the surface/subsurface issue and use only one criterion that the State could sign-off on. Then, when the Corps is long gone, Praxair wouldn't need rad support. They have had holes open for six or eight months while waiting for sample testing results to be able to make a decision to backfill or not.

The Corps is spending a lot of money to still have deed restrictions. If they did it right, then the land could be developed.

---

**Site Name:** Linde FUSRAP Site  
**EPA ID No.:**  
**Subject:** Soils Remediation Five-Year Review  
**Date:** 1/26/2010  
**Time:** 10:30am

**Type:** Telephone Visit Other  
**Location of Visit:**

**Contact Made By:**

**Name:** Kevin Sullivan  
**Title:** Team Engineer  
**Organization:** URS Group, Inc.

**Individual Contacted:**

**Name:** Bob Morris  
**Title:** Technical Support  
**Organization:** Town of Tonawanda

**Supervisor's Office**

**Telephone No:** 716-877-8804  
**Fax No:**

**E-Mail Address:**

**Street Address:**

**City, State, Zip:**

**Summary Of Conversation**

**Question 1:** What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?

**Response 1:** The project is much larger and much longer duration than anticipated. The town does recognize the LINDE project as a necessary project, which needs to be completed.

**Question 2:** Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details

**Response 2:** No. The town is aware of complaints, and knows of activists and organizations with claims of impacts, but not aware of actual conclusive studies.

**Question 3:** Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details.

**Response 3:** Yes. The town is aware that there are residents concerned about FUSRAP causing cancer and other health issues. The concerns are usually expressed about LINDE and Town Landfill where there are actual lawsuits against the town.

**Question 4:** Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.

**Response 4:** No not aware of any

**Question 5:** Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?

**Response 5:** Yes, the town is generally kept up to date from the USACE on all of the FUSRAP projects, either through newsletters or other mailings, depending on what the process is. The USACE used to do semi-annual progress updates at the USACE offices. These updates were, from the town perspective, very informative, but they have stopped. The town is in favor of continuing the progress updates (slide show presentations) in the near future.

**Question 6:** Have there been any Linde Site-related discussions within the town board recently? If so, please provide general topics and outcomes.

**Response 6:** No not in the last year. (interview continued on next page)
Supervisor's Office

Question 7: Are you aware of any future land use planning or concerns for parts of the Linde Site?
Response 7: The town is aware that Praxair is trying to develop the site into a type of campus. No other future use plans or changes that they are aware of.

Question 8: Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?
Response 8: Referred to response to question 5. USACE has had semiannual meetings in the past to provide the town and other groups with site progress updates. These meetings have stopped happening for some reason and the town would like them to continue. Town attendees are usually Bob Morris, the Supervisor, other town board members, and town attorneys.

At the conclusion of the interview I asked Bob Morris whether he thought the Supervisor would need to be interviewed, based on the types of questions asked, and he suggested no, that the Supervisor would not need to be interviewed.

Anthony Caruana - Town of Tonawanda Supervisor 877-8804

Site Name: Linde FUSRAP Site EPA ID No.:
Subject: Soils Remediation Five-Year Review Date: 1/27/2010 Time: 1:45pm
Type: Telephone Visit Other
Location of Visit:
Incoming Outgoing
Contact Made By:
Name: Colin Wasteneys Title: Team Coordinator Organization: URS Group, Inc.

Individual Contacted:
Name: James Rapp Title: Local Resident Organization: Local Community
Telephone No: 716-876-3749
Fax No:
E-Mail Address:
Street Address: 278 Desmond Drive
City, State, Zip: Tonawanda, NY 14150

Summary Of Conversation

Question 1: What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?
Response 1: Knows that they are working, but doesn't know how successful they have been over the years.
Moved to the neighborhood in 1960, and has been there since except for a gap from 1981 to 1993. He knows that radioactive materials last for eons, and doesn't know how they can be successful.

Question 2: Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details
Response 2: No, not really. He knows of one friend and neighbor who had cancer, but he doesn't know if it was caused by radioactive material in the ground.

Question 3: Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details
Response 3: No.

Question 4: Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.
Response 4: No. You used to be able to drive between Woodward and Sheridan, but that is now gated. He used to play tennis at the tennis courts.

Question 5: Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?
Response 5: No. All he knows is that something is being done about it, and he hopes that it is successful and comes to an early end.

Question 6: Do you feel the plan for transporting contaminated soil offsite and clean soil to the site has been adequate in terms of ensuring public protection?
Response 6: He doesn't know what has been done. Was not aware of these specific activities.

Question 7: Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?
Response 7: No, is really not knowledgeable enough to be able to answer the question.

Site Name: Linde FUSRAP Site EPA ID No.:
Subject: Soils Remediation Five-Year Review Date: 1/29/2010 Time: 1:50pm
Type: Telephone Visit Other
Location of Visit:
Incoming Outgoing
Contact Made By:
Name: Colin Wasteneys Title: Team Coordinator Organization: URS Group, Inc.
Individual Contacted:
Name: Lisa Cross
Title: Principal
Organization: Holmes Elementary School
Telephone No: 716-874-8423
Fax No:
E-Mail Address:
Street Address: 365 Dupont Ave
City, State, Zip: Tonawanda, NY 14150

Summary Of Conversation

**Question 1:** What is your general impression of the Linde Soils Remediation Project?
**Response 1:** Doesn't know much about it except that it is going on. Nothing strikes her as positive or negative.

**Question 2:** Are you aware of any effects that site operations have had on the surrounding community? If so, please give details
**Response 2:** No. On occasion, Praxair has provided notification of increased activities or people. But does not know of any particular concerns.

**Question 3:** Are you aware of any general or specific community concerns regarding the soils remediation project at the Linde Site? If so, please give details
**Response 3:** This neighborhood is generally industrial, and there is a general interest among residents in issues dealing with environmental testing and living in a safe environment.

**Question 4:** Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.
**Response 4:** No, not aware of any.

**Question 5:** Do you feel well informed on the remediation progress and site operations?
**Response 5:** To be honest, No. Has only a general understanding of what's going on mainly through her contacts at Praxair. Two years ago, had done a tour of the site and work that was going on - but hasn't heard much about the project since then.

**Question 6:** Do you feel the plan for transporting contaminated soil offsite and clean soil to the site has been adequate in terms of ensuring public protection?
**Response 6:** Can't answer the questions because she hasn't been aware of the process or seen it happening.

**Question 7:** Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the Linde Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program site management or operation?
**Response 7:** Knows that the newsletter just came out - would be good to get more frequent updates - more than once a year. Overall the work being done is good, and is being handled well.